July 2008



#### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

2007–2008 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards, known as Learning Results, adopted by the Maine Legislature in 1997. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning Test<sup>TM</sup> (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure achievement. As last year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning Test<sup>TM</sup> was augmented with 18 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's Learning Results. Additionally, Science and Technology testing resumed this year after a two-year hiatus. The combined tests form the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

Because the MHSA Science & Technology achievement level standards had not been revised in 2006 like all other disciplines, it was necessary to revise the standards for that discipline this spring. The new achievement level standards are the result of a comprehensive process informed by Maine teachers and reviewed by advisory committees. The achievement level standards for the 2008 Critical Reading, Writing and Mathematics sections of the MHSA were not changed.

These 2007-2008 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance in critical reading, mathematics, writing, and science & technology reported according to the achievement standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores for most students may also be used for college admission, scores for students who received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board are not college reportable.

The state results reflect scores based on SAT, Math-A, and Science & Technology test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The MHSA employs a design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics and science & technology questions, and in all subjects, select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat\_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the Learning Results and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Susan A. Lendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron

Commissioner of Education



### SAU Report

Test Date: May 2008

1263 ID:

SAU: MSAD 71

#### **Contents of the Report**

The report is divided into six main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Topic	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9
Science Results	10-11

Maine High School Assessment


### **SUMMARY OF SCORES**

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: MSAD 71


## Summary of School, SAU, and State Scores


**Average Scaled Score** 


Year	, , , ,	age sealed .	20.0
icui	School	SAU	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007 2007–2008		1147 <b>1146</b>	1141 <b>1141</b>
<b>Mathematics</b> 2006–2007 <b>2007–2008</b>		1144 <b>1144</b>	1140 <b>1141</b>
<b>Writing</b> 2006–2007 <b>2007–2008</b>		1146 <b>1146</b>	1141 <b>1140</b>
Science 2007–2008		1143	1141













### **SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION**

Test Date: May 2008 MSAD 71 SAU:

	E	Enro	oll	me	nt¹								C	ON	TI	ΞN	IT A	ARI	EΑ	PA	\R1	TIC	IPA	TIC	Ν	2					
CATEGORY OF	dur	ing te	esti	ng v	vindo	w		С	ritical	Read	ling				Ма	ather	matics	3				Wri	ting					Sci	ence		
PARTICIPATION	Schoo	ı	SA	\U	Si	tate	Scl	nool	S	AU		State	S	chool		SA	\U	Sta	ate	Scl	hool	S	AU	St	ate	Sc	hool	S	AU	Sta	ate
	N '	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total number of students		1	84	100	15604	100			182	99	1487	5 96				184	100	15165	97			181	98	14869	96			183	99	14961	96
Ethnicity African American/Black			0	0	305	2			0	0	261	86				0	0	286	95			0	0	260	86			0	0	280	93
American Indian or Native Alaskan			0	0	103	1			0	0	95	93				0	0	97	95			0	0	95	93			0	0	93	91
Asian or Pacific Islander			1	1	215	1			1	100	194	90				1	100	202	94			1	100	194	90			1	100	200	93
Hispanic			0	0	140	1			0	0	118	84				0	0	123	88			0	0	118	84			0	0	120	86
Caucasian/White		1	83	99	14841	95			181	99	1420	7 96				183	100	14457	98			180	98	14202	96			182	99	14268	96
Not Reported			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0				0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0
Identified disability		3	37	20	2247	14			37	100	206	93				37	100	2138	96			36	97	2060	92			36	97	2081	93
Current LEP			4	2	648	4			4	100	508	79				4	100	564	87			4	100	507	78			4	100	534	83
Economically disadvantaged		2	20	11	4028	26			19	95	3682	92				20	100	3831	95			19	95	3679	92			20	100	3755	94
Migrant			0	0	5	0			0	0	5	100				0	0	5	100			0	0	5	100			0	0	5	100

MODE OF		C	ritical	Readi	ing			Ma	athem	atics	3				Wri	iting					Scie	ence		
	5	chool	S	AU	St	ate	Schoo	ı	SAI	J	Sta	ate	Sch	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Sc	hool	S	AU	St	tate
PARTICIPATION <sup>3</sup>	N	%	N	%	N	%	N S	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Participation without accommodations			156	85	13042	84		1	158	86	13332	85			156	85	13042	84			158	86	13192	2 85
Identified disability (PET/IEP)			16	10	739	6			16	10	810	6			16	10	739	6			16	10	791	6
LEP			2	1	399	3			2	1	456	3			2	1	399	3			2	1	436	3
504 plan			2	1	196	2			2	1	204	2			2	1	196	2			2	1	201	2
Participation with accommodations			21	11	1623	10			21	11	1624	10			21	11	1625	10			21	11	1567	10
Identified disability (PET/IEP)			16	76	1117	69			16	76	1119	69			16	76	1119	69			16	76	1088	69
LEP			2	10	93	6			2	10	93	6			2	10	93	6			2	10	83	5
504 plan			1	5	58	4			1	5	58	4			1	5	58	4			1	5	55	4
Other			3	14	367	23			3	14	366	23			3	14	367	23			3	14	353	23
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)			5	3	209	1			5	3	209	1			4	2	202	1			4	2	202	1
Identified disability (PET/IEP)			5	100	209	100			5	100	209	100			4	100	202	100			4	100	202	100
LEP			0	0	15	7			0	0	15	7			0	0	15	7			0	0	15	7
504 plan			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP			0	0	1	0																		
Approved non-participation – special consideration			0	0	36	0			0	0	40	0			0	0	36	0			0	0	38	0
Non-participation – other			2	1	693	4			0	0	399	3			3	2	699	4			1	1	605	4

<sup>1</sup> Percents are the percentage of students enrolled in each participation category.3 Percents are the percentage of students in each content area by mode.

Maine High School Assessment

### CRITICAL READING RESULTS

<b>ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:</b> Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	•	ST	JDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	'EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling iden		Sch	iool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple items in an "on demand" setting.	-choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Exceeds the Standards</b> – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006 2006-2007 <b>2007-2008</b> Cum. Total*			30 31 <b>22</b> 83	16 16 <b>12</b> 15	1079 1168 <b>1184</b> 3431	7 8 <b>8</b> 8
<b>Meets the Standards</b> – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006 2006-2007 <b>2007-2008</b> Cum. Total*			86 86 <b>95</b> 267	45 45 <b>54</b> 48	5697 5714 <b>5885</b> 17296	38 38 <b>40</b> 39
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006 2006-2007 <b>2007-2008</b> Cum. Total*			44 46 <b>36</b> 126	23 24 <b>20</b> 23	4772 4728 <b>4093</b> 13593	32 31 <b>28</b> 30
<b>Does Not Meet the Standards</b> – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006 2006-2007 <b>2007-2008</b> Cum. Total*			33 27 <b>24</b> 84	17 14 <b>14</b> 15	3595 3444 <b>3417</b> 10456	24 23 <b>23</b> 23



# CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

					Sch	nool							SA	ΑU					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		M		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	M	P	D	Mea Scale Scor
All Otrada at	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	-	N 177	%	% 54	%	% 14	1146	N 14570	%	%	%	%	114
All Students											1//	12	54	20	14	1146	14579	8	40	28	23	114
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black											0						248	4	21	27	48	113
American Indian or Native Alaskan											0		İ				94	5	27	28	40	110
Asian or Pacific Islander											1						192	4	35	30	31	113
Hispanic											0						115	5	32	26	37	113
Caucasian/White											176	13	53	20	14	1146	13930	8	41	28	23	114
Not Reported											0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes											32	3	13	34	50	1131	1823	1	9	24	65	112
No											145	14	63	17	6	1149	12756	9	45	29	17	114
Current LEP																						
Yes											4						488	3	22	24	52	113
No											173	12	54	20	14	1146	14091	8	41	28	22	114
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes											19	0	37	26	37	1136	3545	3	28	30	39	113
No											158	14	56	20	11	1147	11034	10	44	27	19	114
Migrant											_						l _					
Yes											0						5	20	0	40	40	113
No											177	12	54	20	14	1146	14574	8	40	28	23	114
Gender																						
Female											97	13	54	25	8	1147	7237	8	42	30	19	114
Male											80	11	54	15	20	1145	7342	8	38	26	28	114
Not Reported											0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes											0						103	0	9	30	61	112
No											177	12	54	20	14	1146	14476	8	41	28	23	114
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes											19	47	53	0	0	1161	295	48	48	4	0	116
No											158	8	54	23	15	1144	14284	7	40	29	24	114
NU											130	°	34	20	15	1144	14204	′	+0	29	- 24	114
												, v						·				

### MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: MSAD 71

**ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:** Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL\* on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified School SAU State standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Ν % Ν % Ν % Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections 2006-2007 8 578 15 4 among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize 15 2007-2008 637 information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1161-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. 2006-2007 81 42 5481 36 The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and 2007-2008 82 5508 37 apply concepts. (scaled score 1141-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among 2006-2007 62 32 4754 31 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve 50 2007-2008 5065 34 problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1133-1140) Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among 2006-2007 34 18 4607 30 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and 2007-2008 32 18 3660 25 apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)



# MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

DEDODEING					Scł	nool							S	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	- Score
All Students											179	8	46	28	18	1144	14870	4	37	34	25	1141
Ethnicity African American/Black											0						274	1	12	31	57	1133
American Indian or Native Alaskan Asian or Pacific Islander											0 1						96 200	2 8	24 37	30 34	44 22	1136 1142
Hispanic											0		40	00	10		120	3	23	32 34	43	1138
Caucasian/White Not Reported											178 0	8	46	28	18	1144	14180 0	4	38	34	24	1141
Identified disability																						
Yes No											32 147	0 10	25 50	22 29	53 10	1134 1146	1896 12974	0 5	8 41	22 36	70 18	1130 1142
Current LEP																						
Yes No											4 175	8	46	28	18	1144	545 14325	3 4	16 38	28 34	53 24	1135 1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes No											20 159	0 9	25 48	30 28	45 14	1134 1145	3695 11175	1 5	22 42	37 33	40 19	1136 1142
Migrant																						
Yes No											0 179	8	46	28	18	1144	5 14865	20 4	20 37	40 34	20 25	1144 1141
Gender																						
Female Male											98 81	6 11	44 48	34 21	16 20	1143 1145	7362 7508	3 5	36 38	36 32	24 25	1140 1141
Not Reported											0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																	103		0	41	51	1134
Yes No											0 179	8	46	28	18	1144	14767	0 4	8 37	41 34	24	1134
Gifted/talented program											10	40	47	44	^	1150	2000	0.5	FO	F	^	1450
Yes No											19 160	42 4	47 46	11 30	0 20	1158 1142	296 14574	35 4	59 37	5 35	0 25	1158 1140
INU											100	4	46	30	20	1142	145/4	4	3/	35	25	

#### WRITING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: MSAD 71

**ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:** Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified School SAU State standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Ν % Ν % Ν % Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; 2005-2006 20 10 952 6 and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's 2006-2007 31 16 937 6 essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding 14 7 2007-2008 24 962 critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The 75 13 2851 Cum. Total\* essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1161-1180) Meets the Standards - The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions 2005-2006 95 49 6055 40 that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an 2006-2007 89 47 6167 41 effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate 2007-2008 86 49 5564 38 examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused, Cum. Total\* 270 17786 40 demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1141-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage 2005-2006 47 4916 32 24 errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's 2006-2007 44 23 4723 31 essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so 2007-2008 45 25 4679 32 inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is Cum. Total\* 136 24 14318 32 generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1129-1140) Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage 3221 2005-2006 31 16 21 errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's 2006-2007 26 14 3227 21 essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking, 2007-2008 22 12 3376 23 with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay 79 14 Cum. Total\* 9824 22 is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)



# WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

					Scl	nool							SA	U <i>P</i>					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		M		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	M	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	M	P	D	Mea Scale Scor
All Objects and a	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%	1110	N	% 7	%	%	%	444
All Students											177	14	49	25	12	1146	14581	/	38	32	23	114
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black											0						248	2	19	30	49	113
American Indian or Native Alaskan											0						94	3	19	38	39	113
Asian or Pacific Islander											1						192	6	30	34	30	113
Hispanic											0						115	2	30	36	33	113
Caucasian/White											176	14	48	26	13	1146	13932	7	39	32	22	114
Not Reported											0						0					
dentified disability											00	_	40	00	47	1100	1005			00	- 00	110
es .											32	3	13	38	47	1130	1825	1 -	7	23	69	112
No											145	16	57	23	5	1149	12756	7	43	33	17	114
Current LEP																						
'es											4						488	3	19	29	49	113
No											173	13	49	25	13	1146	14093	7	39	32	22	114
Economically disadvantaged																						
'es											19	0	32	37	32	1135	3546	2	25	35	38	113
No											158	15	51	24	10	1147	11035	8	42	31	18	114
/ligrant																						
riigi ai it 'es											0						5	20	0	20	60	113
lo No											177	14	49	25	12	1146	14576	7	38	32	23	114
NO											177	14	45	25	12	1140	14370	,	30	32	20	114
Gender																						
emale											97	14	48	29	8	1148	7239	8	43	33	17	114
Male											80	13	49	21	18	1143	7342	6	34	31	30	113
Not Reported											0						0					
Fitle 1A targeted program																						
es											0						103	0	7	39	54	112
lo No											177	14	49	25	12	1146	14478	7	38	32	23	114
NO											'''	'"		25	14	1140	17770	'	30	02	20	''4
Gifted/talented program																						
'es											19	47	53	0	0	1163	295	42	53	4	0	115
No											158	9	48	28	14	1144	14286	6	38	33	24	113
													<u> </u>		<u> </u>							
			1	1		1	1	1	1	1	I	1	i	1	i	I	I		1	į		1

### SCIENCE RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: MSAD 71

**ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:** Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL\* on state-level assessments in relation to the science standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified SAU State School standards within science at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of Ν % Ν % Ν % multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Exceeds the Standards - The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult problems using the processes 2007-2008 1 1 300 2 of scientific inquiry, and explain complex concepts using evidence and proper terminology to support and communicate logical conclusions. (scaled score 1161-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a general understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to analyze and solve routine problems using the processes of scientific inquiry and explain central 95 53 5927 40 2007-2008 concepts with sufficient clarity and accuracy to demonstrate general understanding. (scaled score 1141-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in science and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate

2007-2008

2007-2008

		nber	Avera	ge Points	Attaine	d (Numbe	er and Pe	rcent)
Learning Results Content Standard Clusters		oints sible	Sch	ool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Cluster 1: Life Sciences	15	27			6.97	46.5	6.41	42.7
Cluster 2: Physical Sciences	14	25			7.46	53.3	6.22	44.4
Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences	14	25			5.50	39.3	5.04	36.0
Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science	13	23			7.41	57.0	6.59	50.7

some ability to analyze and solve problems using scientific inquiry but the quality of responses is inconsistent.

**Does Not Meet the Standards** – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in science and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate

minimal ability to solve problems and use the skills of scientific inquiry. There are many inaccuracies and

Explanation of concepts may be incomplete or unclear. (scaled score 1135-1140)

explanations are illogical, incomplete, or missing. (scaled score 1100-1134)

**Cluster 1: Life Sciences** 

A. Classifying Life Forms

41

42

23

23

3544

4988

Each content standard in the

clusters shown is defined

in Maine's 1997 *Learning* 

Results, which are the basis

for science and technology

Grade Span Expectations.

expectation, which can be

found at http://www.maine.

gov/education/lsalt/gles.

htm.

Each item on the MHSA

measures a grade span

24

34

B. Ecology

C. Cells

**Cluster 2: Physical Sciences** 

E. Structure of Matter

H. Energy

I. Motion

**Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences** 

D. Continuity and Change

F. The Earth

G. The Universe

**Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science** 

J. Inquiry and Problem Solving K. Scientific Reasoning

K. Scientific Reasoning

L. Communication

M. Implications of Science & Technology

<sup>\*</sup>Because science testing at the high school level resumed in 2008 after a two-year hiatus and new achievement level standards were set for the MHSA science test, historical data are not available.



# SCIENCE RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

					Scl	nool							SA	AU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		M		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	M	P	D	Mea Scale Scor
All Students	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		<b>N</b> 179	% 1	% 53	% 23	% 23	1143	N 14759	% 2	% 40	% 24	% 34	114
											173	'	55	20	20	1143	14733	_	40	24	04	'''
thnicity																	000		00	4.4	0.5	١.,
African American/Black											0						269	0	20	14	65	11
American Indian or Native Alaskan											0						92	l '	24	28	47	11
Asian or Pacific Islander											1						199	3	36	25	36	11
Hispanic											0						118	1	26	19	54	11
Caucasian/White											178	1	53	23	24	1143	14081	2	41	24	33	11
Not Reported											0						0					
dentified disability																						
Yes											32	0	19	25	56	1135	1879	0	11	17	72	11
No											147	1	61	22	16	1144	12880	2	44	25	28	11
10												·					.2000	_				''
Current LEP																						
/es											4						519	1	18	19	62	11
No											175	1	53	23	23	1143	14240	2	41	24	33	114
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes											20	0	25	30	45	1137	3651	1	26	24	49	11
													i	i	1				i	i	i	
No											159	1	57	22	21	1143	11108	3	45	24	29	11
Migrant																						
Yes											0						5	20	40	40	0	11
No											179	1	53	23	23	1143	14754	2	40	24	34	11
Gender																						
Female											98	0	47	29	24	1142	7277	1	37	26	36	11
Male											81	1	60	16	22	1144	7482	3	43	22	32	11
Not Reported											0						0					
Fitle 1A targeted program																						
Yes											0						100	1	5	22	72	11
No											179	1	53	23	23	1143	14659	2	40	24	34	11
10											113	'	55	20	20	1145	17000		70		07	''
Gifted/talented program																						
/es											19	5	84	11	0	1150	296	13	80	5	3	11
No											160	0	49	24	26	1142	14463	2	39	24	34	11
													<u> </u>									
															1						1	